

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/529,775 03/30/2005		03/30/2005	Eva Marie Moser	05-207	2278
34704	7590	06/21/2006		EXAMINER	
BACHMAN & LAPOINTE, P.C. 900 CHAPEL STREET				ARENA, ANDREW OWENS	
SUITE 1201		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW HAV	EN, CT (	06510	2811		

DATE MAILED: 06/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary    The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply    A SHORTENDE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.   Extensive of time may be available under the produce of 37 CPR 1.7560, in no event, however, may supply be infinitely desired from the mailing date of this communication. In no event, however, may supply be infinitely desired this communication. In no event, however, may supply be infinitely desired period for may be available of the supplemental of the communication. In no event, however, may supply be infinitely desired period for may be available. The property within the size of extended period for may be applicated on the property within the size of extended period for may be applicated on the property within the size of extended period for may be applicated on the property of the size of the communication. In this action is FINAL.   2b) □ This action is non-final.   31 □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.    Disposition of Claims   4 □ Extensive Communication of the property of the property of the size of the communication of the property of the size of the property of the p		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
## Defice Action Summary    Examiner							
Andrew O, Arena    Period for Reply   A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.   Exercises for meny be anablemented be produced of 3°C/RT 1.78(b), in no event, howers, may a reply be interested to the produce of 3°C/RT 1.78(b), in no event, howers, may a reply be interested to the produce of 3°C/RT 1.78(b), in no event, howers, may a reply be interested to the communication of 3°C/RT 1.78(b), in no event, howers, may a reply be interested to the communication of 3°C/RT 1.78(b), in no event, howers, may a reply be interested to the communication of 3°C/RT 1.78(b), in no event, howers, may a reply be interested to the communication of 3°C/RT 1.78(b), in no event, howers, may a reply be interested to the communication of 3°C/RT 1.78(b), in the supplication is became allowed to the supplication of the supplication of the supplication of the communication, event furney find, may reduce any extension of 3°C/RT 1.78(b).   Status   1)	Office Action Summany						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of the map be available under the production of 30 FER1.3(6), in no evert, however, may are by be triefy find above the state of this communication of 13 FER1.3(6), in no evert, however, may a resplue the trief of the state of this communication. Failure to recy within the set or extended period for explying the late of the communication. Failure to recy with the set of extended period for explying the 13 poly and will expire SIX (6) NOTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to recy with the set of extended period for explying the 13 poly and will expire SIX (6) NOTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to recy with the set of exploration of the production of the production of the production of the communication (s) filed on 15 May 2005.  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 May 2006.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is FiNAL.  2b) □ This action is condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.  4a) □ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are allowed.  5) □ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are allowed.  5) □ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are allowed.  8) □ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2005 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2005 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Application produced the production of the priority documents have been re	Onice Action Summary						
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time mby be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a), in no event, however, mby a reply be timely filled to the provision of the pro	The MAU ING DATE of this communication and						
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of mirr mpby the validable under the provisions of 32°CFR 1.19(a). In no evert, however, may a tray by the timely filed after 50°C, (b) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failuble to grey within the set or extended period for review, the 10 years of the provision of the 100°C period of th							
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 May 2006.  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☑ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-12 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s)	WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-12 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s)  is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 7)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s)  are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b) Some of the priority documents have been received. 2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-12 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2005 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □ . 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 M	<u>ay 2006</u> .					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	·=						
Algorithms  Algor	,—						
4)	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-12 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9,13 and 14 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2005 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Disposition of Claims						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on _30 March _2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)   ☐ Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.						
6  Claim(s) 1-9,13 and 14 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s)  are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2005 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.   Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· <u> </u>						
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>						
Application Papers  9) ☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  □ Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		r election requirement.					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2005 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<del>, _ , _ ,</del>						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Figure 1.121(d).  10 Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 I S.C. & 119						
a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  4. ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5. ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  1. ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	l <u> </u>	_					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							

Office Action Summary

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-9, 13, and 14 in the reply filed on 05/15/2006 is acknowledged.

## Drawings

Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art – because only that which is old (specification ¶36 ln 2-3) is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: Composite material comprising titanium dioxide layer on titanium suboxide layer on substrate.

Art Unit: 2811

The specification is objected to because of the following informalities: the recitation "0.7  $\leq$  x < 0.2" (¶37 ln 2) seems to be a misprint of "0.7  $\leq$  x < 2" (see specification ¶6 ln 2, ¶10 ln 3, ¶19 ln 4, ¶20 ln 4, ¶26 ln 1-2).

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131

Art Unit: 2811

USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex parte Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance:

claim 3 recites the broad statement "thickness of 10 to 200 nm" and also recites "preferably 20 to 150 nm", which is the narrower statement of the range;

claim 4 recites the broad statement "a protective layer" (no limitation on thickness) and also recites "preferably with maximum the same layer thickness as...", which is the narrower statement of the limitation;

claim 6 recites the broad statement "an electrically conductive...layer" (no limitation on material) and also recites "preferably comprises TiOx...", which is the narrower statement of the limitation;

claim 8 recites the broad statement "a plastic substrate" and also recites "preferably mixed with the plastic substrate...are...filler particles", which is the narrower statement of the limitation.

Claim 4 recites a "polar adhesion layer" without specifying the structure of said layer. The metes and bounds of said recitation cannot be determined, rendering the claim indefinite.

Claim 5 recites "the total proportion of all metal oxides remains below 50%", which contradicts the claimed structure being titanium oxide mixed "and/or" doped with additional metal oxides; since applicant has claimed a material in which the total proportion of all metal oxides is 100%.

Claims 13 and 14 provide for the use of a composite material, but, since the claims do not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what Art Unit: 2811

method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See ex.: *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

#### Claim Objections

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, and 6 are objected to because of the following informalities: each of these claims sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, and each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. See MPEP § 608.01(m).

Claims 1, 4 and 5 are objected to because of the following informalities: the recitation "and/or" is not the proper Markush style of claiming. See MPEP § 803.02. In the present case:

Art Unit: 2811

In claim 1, the use of "and/or" is confusing as to weather a "crystalline" layer is required: in addition to the amorphous layer; or as an alternative to the amorphous layer.

In claim 4, the use of "and/or" is confusing as to weather a "polar adhesion layer" is required: in addition to the metal oxides; or as an alternative to the metal oxides.

In claim 5, the first and third instances of "and/or" should be changed simply to "and" since SiO<sub>2</sub> is part of the first Markush group of metal oxides and CaO is part of the second Markush group of metal oxides. The Markush groups (see lns 4, 6, and 9) should be explicitly labeled as "first" and "second" when initially defined. The second instance of "and/or" is confusing as to weather the claimed doping is required: in addition to the claimed mixing; or as an alternative to mixing.

For claims 4 and 5, examiner suggests either: one claim reciting the first limitation (metal oxides or mixing) and a claim depending therefrom which adds the second limitation (polar adhesion layer or doping); or two alternate claims, one reciting the first limitation (metal oxides or mixing) and a second reciting the other limitation (polar adhesion or doping).

Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: the recitation "at least one metal" (In 3) seems to be an error and should be replaced with "at least one metal oxide" (see claim 4 In 5).

Appropriate correction of claims 1, 2, 4, 5, and 6 is required.

Art Unit: 2811

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nishiyama (US 6,326,670).

Regarding claim 1, Nishiyama discloses (Fig 32) composite material of a substrate (10; col 2 ln 59) with,

applied to at least one side, a titanium oxide layer (31+32; col 12 ln 66) with a chemical, physical, mechanical, catalytic, and/or optical function (gate insulating; col 12 ln 67), characterized in that

on the substrate is deposited a titanium oxide layer of a base layer (31) of  $TiO_x$  (col 13 ln 1) with an oxygen content of  $0.7 \le x < 2$  (col 13 ln 2) and

on this base layer is applied a top layer (32) of amorphous and/or crystalline TiO<sub>2</sub> (col 13 ln 4).

Regarding claim 2, Nishiyama discloses the titanium oxide layer (31+32) has a total layer thickness of 3 to 1000 nm (30 nm; col 12 ln 66 – col 13 ln 1), where the top layer (32) comprises at least around 10% of the total layer (about 50%; Fig 32).

Regarding claim 3, Nishiyama discloses the titanium oxide layer (31+32) has a total layer thickness of 10 to 200 nm, preferably 20 to 150 nm (30 nm; col 13 ln 1).

Art Unit: 2811

Claims 1, 2, 6, 8, 9, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tatsumi (US 2002/0008914)

Regarding claim 1, Tatsumi discloses (Fig 1) composite material of a substrate (10; ¶30 ln 3) with,

applied to at least one side, a titanium oxide layer (11-14; additional layers included, consistent with applicant's Fig 5, claims 4 and 6, spec ¶16, ¶15) with a chemical, physical, mechanical, catalytic, and/or optical function (mirror, ¶30 ln 2), characterized in that

on the substrate is deposited a titanium oxide layer of a base layer (11) of  $TiO_x$  (¶31 ln 1) with an oxygen content of  $0.7 \le x < 2$  (¶31 ln 3) and

on this base layer is applied a top layer (14) of amorphous and/or crystalline  $TiO_2$  (¶35 ln 1).

Regarding claim 2, Tatsumi discloses the titanium oxide layer (11-14) has a total layer thickness of 3 to 1000 nm (475nm = 125+150+100+100 = 475nm; ¶38 lns 10, 12, 16, 20; 100nm  $\leq \lambda/4 < 175$ nm: ¶39 ln 5), where the top layer (14) comprises at least around 10% of the total layer (100nm/475nm is at least 10%).

Regarding claim 6, Tatsumi discloses that between the base layer (11) and the top layer (14) of the titanium oxide layer (11-14) is deposited an electrically conductive intermediate layer (12, ¶32 ln 1). [In view of the indefiniteness explained above, the limitations following the recitation "preferably" are regarded as merely exemplary.]

Application/Control Number: 10/529,775 Page 9

Art Unit: 2811

Regarding claim 8, Tatsumi discloses (Fig 1) a plastic substrate (10; ¶30 ln 5). [In view of the indefiniteness explained above, the limitations following the recitation "preferably" are regarded as merely exemplary.]

Regarding claim 9, Tatsumi discloses (Fig 1) a flammable (plastic) substrate (10; ¶30 ln 5) characterized in that the  $TiO_x$  base layer (11) of the titanium oxide layer (11-14) has an oxygen content of 1.5  $\leq$  x  $\leq$  1.9 (¶31 ln 3).

Regarding claim 13, Tatsumi discloses (Fig 1) use of a composite material with a plastic substrate (10; ¶30 ln 5) to increase the thermal stability and flame inhibition of polymer materials (since Tatsumi identically discloses applicant's claimed invention, the material of Tatsumi is inherently capable of being put to applicant's claimed use).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4, 5, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cuomo (US 2002/0172938) in view of Nishiyama (US 6,326,670).

Regarding claim 1, Cuomo discloses composite material (¶11 ln 1-2, ¶12 ln 1) of a substrate (lowermost of the plurality of base layers: ¶12 ln 1) with,

(

Art Unit: 2811

applied to at least one side, a titanium oxide layer (¶12 ln 12; MO: ¶11 ln 7, ln 10) with a chemical, physical, mechanical, catalytic, and/or optical function (non-adsorbing; ¶16 ln 6), characterized in that

on the substrate is deposited a titanium oxide layer of a base layer ( $\P 12 \ln 1$ ) of  $TiO_x$  ( $\P 12 \ln 12$ ) with an oxygen content, and

on this base layer is applied a top layer (coating: MO, ¶11 ln 10) of amorphous and/or crystalline titanium oxide (¶11 ln 7).

Further regarding claim 1, Cuomo differs from the claimed invention only in not expressly disclosing the oxygen content of the titanium oxide base layer, and in not expressly disclosing the titanium oxide top layer is TiO<sub>2</sub>.

Nishiyama discloses (Fig 32) a  $TiO_x/TiO_2$  stack (col 12 ln 66) wherein a base layer of  $TiO_x$  has an oxygen content of  $0.7 \le x < 2$  (col 13 ln 2) and a top layer of titanium oxide is  $TiO_2$  (col 13 ln 4).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that in the composite material of Cuomo, a base layer of  $TiO_x$  has an oxygen content of  $0.7 \le x < 2$  and a top layer of amorphous and/or crystalline  $TiO_2$ ; at least so oxygen in the  $TiO_x$  films is inactivated (Nishiyama: col 13 In 6-10).

Regarding claim 4, Cuomo discloses that between the substrate and the base layer of the titanium oxide layer is deposited a protective layer of a metal oxide of ZnO (zinc oxide; ¶26 ln 7).

Regarding claim 5, Cuomo discloses the base layer of  $TiO_x$  is mixed (¶12 ln 14) with a metal oxide of ZnO (¶12 ln 14).

Art Unit: 2811

Cuomo differs from the claimed invention in not expressly disclosing the mixing ration.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the total proportion of all [additionally mixed] metal oxides remains below 50%; at least so the titanium oxide layer remains mostly titanium oxide.

Regarding claim 14, Cuomo discloses use of a composite material as active hygiene protection (¶16 ln 4-6; Cuomo is inherently capable of being put into applicant's claimed uses).

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cuomo and Nishiyama as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Fujimori (US 2002/0108649).

Regarding claim 7, Cuomo differs from the claimed invention only in not expressly disclosing the TiO<sub>2</sub> modification anatase.

Fujimori discloses the TiO<sub>2</sub> modification anatase has a higher electron transport efficiency ([0090]).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Cuomo in view of Fujimori such that at least the nine top atomic layers of the top layer of the titanium oxide layer mainly comprise the TiO<sub>2</sub> modification anatase; at least for higher electron transport efficiency.

Art Unit: 2811

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew O. Arena whose telephone number is (571) 272-5976. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (571) 272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AOA

9 June 2006

EDDIE LEE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800